

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/393,962 Applicant(s)

Nelson et al

Examiner

Larry Taylor

Group Art Unit 2876

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Karl D. Frech	(3) Chris Austin-Hollands (for Applicant)
(2) Larry Taylor	(4)
Date of Interview Feb 8, 2001	
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐	applicant 🛛 applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes Yes	No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9, and 16	
Identification of prior art discussed: Lazlo and Baldwin as in rejection	
Applicant clarified the invention to distinguish the "control" e suggested to distinguish between the "control" elements and will reconsider in light of amendment and comments during i	d the "navigational" elements and their identifiers. Examiner
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendanthe claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	y of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat	e record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDING Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF T	DE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH
each of the objections, rejections and requirements to claims are now allowable, this completed form is con-	duding any attachments) reflects a complete response to that may be present in the last Office action, and since the insidered to fulfill the response requirements of the last ring a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above KARL D. FRECH
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an atta	chment to a signed Office action.